1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

CISCO SYSTEMS INC,

Plaintiff,

v.

STMICROELECTRONICS INC, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:14-cv-03236-RMW

ORDER RE: ADMINISTRATIVE DTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL STMICROELECTRONICS, INC.'S **COUNTER-COMPLAINT** 

Re: Dkt. No. 129

Before the court is defendant STMicroelectronics, Inc.'s administrative motion to seal portions of its counter-complaint against plaintiff Cisco. "Historically, courts have recognized a 'general right to inspect and copy public records and documents, including judicial records and documents." Kamakana v. City & County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006) (quoting Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 597 & n. 7 (1978)). Accordingly, when considering a sealing request, "a 'strong presumption in favor of access' is the starting point." Id. (quoting Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th Cir. 2003)). Parties seeking to seal judicial records bear the burden of overcoming the presumption with "compelling reasons" that outweigh the general history of access and the public policies favoring disclosure. Id. at 1178-79.

1

5:14-cv-03236-RMW

ORDER RE: ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF STMICROELECTRONICS, INC.'S COUNTER-COMPLAINT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A protective order sealing the documents during discovery may reflect the court's previous determination that good cause exists to keep the documents sealed, see Kamakana, 447 F.3d at 1179-80, but a blanket protective order that allows the parties to designate confidential documents does not provide sufficient judicial scrutiny to determine whether each particular document should remain sealed. See Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(A) ("Reference to a stipulation or protective order that allows a party to designate certain documents as confidential is not sufficient to establish that a document, or portions thereof, are sealable.").

In addition to making particularized showings of good cause, parties moving to seal documents must comply with the procedures established by Civ. L.R. 79-5. Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 79-5(b), a sealing order is appropriate only upon a request that establishes the document is "sealable," or "privileged or protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law." "The request must be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material, and must conform with Civil L.R. 79-5(d)." Civ. L.R. 79-5(b) (requiring the submitting party to attach a "proposed order that is narrowly tailored to seal only the sealable material" which "lists in table format each document or portion thereof that is sought to be sealed," and an "unredacted version of the document" that indicates "by highlighting or other clear method, the portions of the document that have been omitted from the redacted version."). "Within 4 days of the filing of the Administrative Motion to File Under Seal, the Designating Party must file a declaration as required by subsection 79-5(d)(1)(A) establishing that all of the designated material is sealable." Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).

With these standards in mind, the courts rules on the instant motion as follows.

Motion	<b>Document to be Sealed</b>	Ruling	Reason/Explanation
to Seal			
129	Defendant STMicroelectronics,	GRANTED as to	Narrowly tailored to
	Inc.'s Amended Answer to Third	proposed	confidential business
	Amended Complaint and Counter-	redactions	information.
	complaint (129-4)	(highlights).	

26

27

28

5:14-cv-03236-RMW

ORDER RE: ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF STMICROELECTRONICS, INC.'S COUNTER-COMPLAINT

2

## Case 3:14-cv-03236-RS Document 140 Filed 01/13/16 Page 3 of 3

United States District Court Northern District of California

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 13, 2016



Ronald M. Whyte United States District Judge

5:14-cv-03236-RMW

ORDER RE: ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF STMICROELECTRONICS, INC.'S COUNTER-COMPLAINT